# BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT EXAMINING COMMITTEE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation	)
Against:	)
Yoffwar W. Haimas D.A	)
Jeffrey W. Haines, P.A. Certificate # PA-10302	) File No: 1E-94-37452
Certificate # FA-10302	) File NO: 1E-94-57452
	)
	)
Petitioner.	)
i cuttoner.	)
	DECISION
_	is hereby adopted by the Physician Assistant Examining dof California as its Decision in the above-entitled
This Decision shall becom	ie effective on <u>May 22, 1997</u> .
It is so orderedMay 23	2, 1997     .
	SICIAN ASSISTANT EXAMINING COMMITTEE DICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
	<b>^</b>

Steven Johnson, PA-C, Chair

il	·								
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California								
2	SAMUEL K. HAMMOND,  Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 141135								
3.	Deputy Actorney General, State Bar No. 141133  Department of Justice  110 West A Street, Suite 1100								
4	Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266								
5	Telephone: (619) 645-2083								
6	Attorneys for Complainant								
7									
8	BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT EXAMINING COMMITTEE								
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF CONSOMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11	In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. 1E-94-37452								
12	In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. 1E-94-37452 Against:								
13	JEFFREY WILLIAM HAINES, P.A. ) <u>STIPULATION FOR</u> 10453 Meadowcreek Dr. ) <u>SURRENDER OF LICENSE</u>								
14	Moreno Valley, CA 92557								
15	Physician Assistant ) License No. PA-10302 )								
16	Respondent.								
17	)								
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE								
19	PARTIES TO THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER THAT:								
20	1. Ray Dale complainant, is the Executive Officer of								
21	the Physician Assistant Examining Committee, Medical Board of								
22	California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter								
23	"Committee") and is represented by Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney								
24	General of the State of California, by Samuel K. Hammond, Deputy								
25	Attorney General.								
26	2. Jeffrey William Haines, P.A. ("respondent") is								
27	represented in this matter by Steve J. Simerlein, Esq., Foley,								

28 | Lardner, Weissburg & Aronson. The respondent thas counseled with

his counsel concerning the effect of this stipulation which respondent has carefully read and fully understands.

- 3. Respondent has received and read the Accusation which is presently on file and pending in Case No. 1E-94-37452 before the Committee, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A.
- 4. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, such charges and allegations would constitute cause to impose a disciplinary order on his license.
- 5. Respondent and his counsel are aware of each of respondent's rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to present evidence in his favor and call witnesses on his behalf, or to testify, his right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded to respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.), including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 6. Respondent is not presently practicing as a physician assistant, however, in order to avoid the expense and uncertainty of a hearing, respondent freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of the rights set forth above, and respondent hereby surrenders Physician Assistant License No. PA-10302 for the Committee's formal acceptance.
- 7. Respondent agrees to pay to the Committee the amount of \$3,000 as the Committee's reasonable cost for

- 8. Respondent understands that in signing this stipulation rather than contesting the accusation, he is enabling the Committee to issue its order accepting the surrender of his license without further process.
- 9. Upon acceptance of the stipulation by the Committee, respondent agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Committee both his wall and wallet certificates. Respondent further understands that when the Committee accepts the surrender of his license, he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician assistant in California.
- 10. In consideration of the foregoing stipulation and recitals, the Committee upon formal acceptance of respondent's surrender herein agrees to dismiss the Accusation in Case No. 1E-94-37452 now pending.
- should he ever apply for relicensure or should he ever petition for reinstatement of his California License, the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 1E-94-37452 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent.
- 12. All stipulations and recitals contained in this stipulation are made solely and exclusively for the purpose of settlement of Accusation No. 10-94-37452 against Jeffrey William Haines, P.A. It is understood by respondent that, in deciding whether to adopt this stipulation, the Committee may receive oral

and written communications from its staff and the Attorney
General's office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph
shall not disqualify the Committee or its staff from future
participation in this or any other matter affecting respondent.

In the event this settlement is not adopted by the Committee, the
stipulation will not become effective and may not be used for any
purpose, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in effect.

ACCEPTANCE

I, Jeffrey William Haines, P.A., have carefully read

the above stipulation and enter into it freely on advice of counsel, and with full knowledge of its force and effect, do hereby surrender my License No. PA-10302 to the Physician Assistant Examining Committee, Medical Board of California, for its formal acceptance. By surrendering my license, I recognize that upon its formal acceptance by the Committee, I will lose all

rights and privileges to practice as a physician assistant in the

18	DATED:	419197
19		0 11/17/ -
20	\	JEFFREY WILLIAM HAINES, P.A.
21		Respondent
22	\\\	
23	\\\	
24	\\\	25 - Angel Maria (1997)

28 \\\

State of California.

# ENDORSEMENT

. 2	The foregoing Stipulation in Settlement, Decision and
3	Order is hereby respectfully submitted to the Physician Assistant
4	Examining Committee, Medical Board of California.
5	DATED: 4/1/97
6	
7	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
8	of the State of California
9	
10	SAMUEL K. HAMMOND
11	Deputy Attorney General
12	Attorneys for Complainant
13	DATED: 4/8/97
14	
15	Just Sparl
16	STEVE J. SIMERLEIN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent
17	
18	\\\
19	\\\
20	
21	
21 22	
	\\\\
22	
22 23	\\\\ \\\\ \\\\
22 23 24	
22 23 24 25	
22 23 24 25 26	

EXHIBIT &

· 11	
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	RICHARD D. HENDLIN,
3	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 76742
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 P.O. Box 85266
5	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2071
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	
8	BEFORE THE
9	PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT EXAMINING COMMITTEE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation ) Case No. 1E-94-37452
13	Against: ) ) ) )   JEFFREY WILLIAM HAINES, P.A. ) ACCUSATION
14	10453 Meadowcreek Dr. )
15	Moreno Valley, CA 92557 )
16	Physician Assistant ) License No. PA-10302,
17	Respondent. )
18	<u> </u>
19	COMES NOW complainant Ray E. Dale, who as causes for
20	disciplinary action, alleges:
21	1. Complainant is the Executive Officer of the
22	Physician Assistant Examining Committee, Medical Board of
23	California (hereinafter the "Committee"), and makes and files
24	this Accusation solely in his official capacity as such and not
25	otherwise.
26	<u>LICENSE STATUS</u> :
27	2. On or about February 21, 1978, Physician Assistant
28	License No. PA-10302 was issued by the Committee to Jeffrey

William Haines and, at all times relevant herein said license was, and currently is, in full force and effect.

## **STATUTES**:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

- 3. California Business and Professions Code section 3527 provides, in pertinent part, as follows:
  - "(a) The committee may order the denial of an application for, or the issuance subject to terms and conditions of, or the suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon a physician's assistant license after a hearing as required in Section 3528 for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, a violation of this chapter, a violation of the State Medical Practice Act, or a violation of the regulations adopted by the committee or the Board."
- 4. California Business and Professions Code section 3531 provides:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of a felony or of any offense which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession to which the license was issued is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this chapter. committee may order the license suspended or revoked, or shall decline to issue a license when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of quilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

5. Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations section 1399.521(a) provides:

"In addition to the grounds set forth in section 3527, subd.(a), of the code the committee may deny, issue subject to terms and conditions, suspend, revoke or place on probation a physician assistant for the following causes:

11//

2.

(a)	Any	violation	of	the	State	Medical	Pr	acti	.ce	Act
		onstitute		profe	essiona	al condu	ct	for	a	
physician	n and	surgeon.'	1							

6. California Business and Professions Code section 2234 (a part of the State Medical Practice Act (Bus. and Prof. Code §2000 et seq.)) provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
  - "(b) ...
  - "(c) ...
  - "(d) ...
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician or surgeon.
- "(f) Any action which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 7. Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations section 1399.525 provides:

"For the purposes of the denial, suspension or revocation of a license or approval pursuant to division 1.5 (commencing with section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license or approval under Physician Assistant Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding such a license or approval to perform the functions authorized by the license or approval in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include, but are not limited to, the following:

28 | ///

1.0

# 8. Business and Professions Code section 490 provides:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

9. Business and Professions Code section 493 provides:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question. As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

- 10. Penal Code section 243.4(d), as of August 4, 1992;
- provided in pertinent part:

Assistant Practice Act."

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

"(1) Any person who touches an intimate part of another person, if the touching is against the will of the person touched, and is for the specific purpose of

sexual arousal, sexual gratification, or sexual abuse, is guilty of misdemeanor sexual battery, punishable by a fine not exceeding two thousand dollars (\$2,000), or by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding six months, or by both that fine and imprisonment."

provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

# **CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS:**

 $\cdot 12$ 

#### CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Re: Conviction of Crime of Sexual Battery)

- disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 490, 2234, 3527, 3531 and Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 1399.521(a) and 1399.525, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that he has been convicted of a misdemeanor criminal offense, sexual battery in violation of Penal Code section 243.4, subd. (d), which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and/or duties of his profession as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
  - A. On or about July 21, 1992, a felony complaint was filed in Municipal Court of Mount San Jacinto Judicial District, County of Riverside (Case No. CR43939; H92F0128); charging respondent with two counts, including violations of Penal Code section 288(a), alleging that on or about

. 11

September 1, 1987 through July 7, 1989, he wilfully, unlawfully, and lewdly committed lewd and lascivious acts upon and with the body and certain parts and members thereof of two children under the age of fourteen years, with the intent of arousing, appealing to and gratifying the lust, passions, and sexual desires of him and the children.

- B. On or about July 30, 1992 an information was filed charging one count of violation of section 288(a) of the Penal Code.
- C. The circumstances relating to the criminal charges are as follows:

On or about December 20, 1991, Barbara Haines reported that her two daughters, ages 13 and 14, had been molested by their father, respondent herein. Respondent admitted that the molestations began four years before and that on multiple occasions, he showered with his two daughters and insert his penis between their legs. Respondent also rubbed and inserted his fingers in the vagina of one of the girls. He also had one of the girls sit naked on his lap.

- D. On or about August 4, 1992, the Information was orally amended to add count 2, a violation of Penal Code section 243.4(d), a misdemeanor. On August 4, 1992, respondent pled guilty and was convicted of one count of violation of Penal Code section 243.4(d), a misdemeanor, [sexual battery]. Pursuant to a plea bargain, the remaining count was dismissed.
- E. On or about November 4, 1992, respondent was sentenced to three years formal probation upon conditions

including, among others, service of 6 days custody, payment of a fine and penalty assessment of \$5,400, and condition number five that he "Not associate with any female minor unless accompanied by a responsible adult approved by the Probation Officer".

F. Respondent's conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions and/or duties of his profession as a physician's assistant.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Dishonesty or Corruption)

- 13. Respondent has further subjected his license to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 3527 and 2234 (e) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that he has committed acts involving dishonesty or corruption which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician assistant as more particularly alleged hereinafter:
  - A. On or about October 31, 1995, during the course of an interview at the San Bernardino Office of the Medical Board of California, respondent, with his attorney present, admitted that in 1993 he signed and initialed a form authorizing him to have emergency room privileges at the Kaiser Hospital in Riverside in which he falsely answered and initialed "no" to a question which asked if he had been convicted of any crime. In fact, respondent knew he had been convicted of the crime of sexual battery in 1992.

    Respondent stated that he didn't report his conviction to his employer, Kaiser Hospital, because he thought he would

be terminated based on the conviction. Respondent was suspended by Kaiser and, on July 28, 1995, terminated for lying on the form.

B. On November 4, 1992, respondent was placed on probation in the case of People of the State of California vs. Jeffrey W. Haines, Riverside County Superior Court Criminal Case No. CR-43939. Probation Condition No. 5 required respondent "Not to associate with any female minor unless accompanied by a responsible adult approved by the Probation Officer." In disobedience of this condition, respondent associated, saw and treated 20 to 30 female minors at Kaiser up to on or about November 4, 1992, when an Order modifying Probation Condition No. 5 was made.

## **PRAYER**

wherefore, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, the Committee issue its decision and order:

- Revoking or suspending Physician Assistant License No.
   PA-10302, heretofore issued to respondent Jeffrey William Haines;
- 2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, ordering respondent to pay to the Committee the reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution incurred in this case; and,

1///

24 | ///

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 | ///

26 ///

27 1///

28 | ///

Taking such other and further action as the Board deems appropriate to protect the public health, safety and welfare. DATED: August 16, 1996 4. I Dale RAY E. DALE Executive Officer Physician Assistant Examining Committee Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant rdh:haines\acc